

IN THE NEWS

INDEX

April 13 By-Elections

Liberals Attempt to Secure Majority in Canada's Parliament

- **Elections Canada Announces By-Election Candidates and Use of Special Ballot in Terrebonne**
– *Anna Di Carlo* –
- **Work of Longest Ballot Project Shows Broad Support for Electoral Reform**
– *Pauline Easton* –
- **What "Being at the Table" Tells Us About the Cartel Party System of Government**
– *Steve Rutchinski* –
- **Only Carney Is "at the Table"**

Letters to the Editor

- ***By-Elections Silence Discussion on Liberals' War Agenda and Privatization of Social Programs***

April 13 By-Elections

Liberals Attempt to Secure Majority in Canada's Parliament

Elections Canada Announces By-Election Candidates and Use of Special Ballot in Terrebonne

– *Anna Di Carlo* –

Nominations for the three federal by-elections taking place on April 13 closed on Monday, March 23 at 2:00 pm. Elections Canada published the list of confirmed candidates for all three ridings on March 25. In Scarborough Southwest (Ontario), a total of eight candidates have been nominated. In University--Rosedale (Ontario), a total of 10 candidates have been nominated. In Terrebonne (Quebec), a total of 48 candidates are running.

On March 24, Elections Canada announced that due to the large number of candidates in Terrebonne, "special ballots" will be used. The ballots provide a space for the selected candidate's name to be written in and, optionally, the candidate's party, if applicable. Ballots are rejected if they only show the name of a party, while errors in the candidate's name are accepted if the voter's intent is clear.

This is the second time that the special ballot will be used in response to the Longest Ballot protest, the first being in the August 2025 Battle River--Crowfoot by-election which saw a record-breaking 214 candidates. The 48 candidates in Terrebonne are the exact same number as in the June 19, 2023 by-election in Winnipeg South Centre, the fourth federal election in which the Longest Ballot participated. In that case, a two-column long ballot was used.

Elections Canada cited ballot rejection rates as one factor it took into consideration, without providing stats. Since special ballots have only been used once before, the comparable ballot rejection rates are 0.48 per cent in Winnipeg South Centre (long ballot) and 0.41 per cent in Battle River--Crowfoot (special ballot). Elections Canada says it also based its decision on previous consultations that "confirm a write-in ballot is the preferred approach."

People will receive a list of candidates when they go to vote, with large print and Braille versions available. Elections Canada has not yet said how the 48 candidates will appear on the list. In Battle River--Crowfoot, two versions were available: one that listed party candidates first, followed by independent candidates. The other listed all candidates alphabetically.

Elections Canada writes, "Counting the ballots takes time, and counting the write-in ballot may take more time." It promises to keep the public posted.

The by-election was called because the Supreme Court annulled the results of the general election in Terrebonne which, due to a mail-in ballot error by Elections Canada, gave Liberal candidate Tatiana Auguste a seat, which she held for over eight months, on the basis of a one-vote win over Bloc Québécois candidate Nathalie Sinclair-Desgagne. None of the arguments for or against the annulment are being discussed by either the parties nor the media. To detract attention from the need for electoral reform which the results of this by-election revealed, media commentators, pundits and cartel party spokespersons engage in diversionary discussion. For instance, pollster Nik Nanos told the *Globe & Mail* that it is unlikely that the Longest Ballot candidates would influence the by-election's outcome. The annulment are discussed. The electoral system and how it functions and its aim are not discussed. On the contrary, in a situation in which both the Liberal and the Bloc candidate are running again, Nik Nanos said, "I can't see a situation where the long ballot, in this particular case, when it's a coin-toss, could really favour either of the two likely front-running parties, the Liberals and the Bloc Québécois."

Nanos likened the by-election to a "yes/no" vote with "two competing referendum questions." The Liberals will be asking people to give them a majority "for Mr. Carney to lead the country," while the Bloc will call on them to "send a message to Ottawa and make the Bloc stronger to advocate within Canada."

Nanos also told the *Globe* that even though the Liberals are leading in national polls, "by-elections are wild cards," pointing out that "parties can bring volunteers to bear on a small level of geography." Indeed, many social media posts show "volunteers" such as Finance Minister Philippe Champagne, Leader of the Government in the House of Commons Steve MacKinnon, Mount Royal MP Anthony Housefather and even Prime Minister Mark Carney campaigning for Auguste.

John Beebe, director of Toronto Metropolitan University's Democratic Engagement Exchange, told the *Globe* that "when elections are tight and people's confidence in the electoral system is challenged, such as in Terrebonne, a protest by a group would be another wrinkle that would not add to ensuring the health and vitality of Canada's democracy."

Why blame the Longest Ballot for the bad shape Canada's Electoral system finds itself in when the cartel parties and electoral system do such a good job of this themselves? For instance, additional proof that Canada's electoral system is corrupt is provided by the fact that the Terrebonne by-election was called precisely when the Liberals' are holding their Party Convention in Montreal mere days beforehand.

Terrebonne By-Election "Coincides" with Liberal Convention in Montreal

The Liberal Party is holding its National Convention in Montreal, April 9-11, the weekend before the April 13 Terrebonne by-election. The party announced the convention in May 2025, so when Prime Minister Mark Carney called the by-elections for April 13 he was well aware of all the free publicity his party would get in the by-elections.

It also means a slew of Liberals, who will descend on Montreal from across the country for the convention, can be deployed as canvassers in nearby Terrebonne. Will the Liberal propaganda which advantages the Liberal candidates in the by-elections and the travel expenses of conventioners who go out to campaign for Tatiana Auguste in Terrebonne be included in her election campaign expense return?

If it quacks like a duck, walks like a duck and smells like a duck, well perhaps it is a duck -- and a foul smelling fowl at that.

Aside from the Liberals' self-promotion of their convention, such as live-streaming open sessions, the government-subsidized media is more than likely to provide coverage and commentary amounting to incalculable free advertising during the by-elections. To provide a rough idea of the money involved, when the Standing Committee on Procedure and House Affairs recently questioned Michel Cormier, Executive Director and Acting Leaders' Debate Commissioner, as to why the debates cost so much to produce, he replied that the \$1.5 million production costs for the French and English two-hour debates is in keeping with industry standard.

According to the spending limits set for the by-elections, the Liberal Party can spend a maximum of \$350,000 on campaigning since it has candidates in all three ridings. In contrast, the Bloc Québécois is limited to spending \$118,000 because it is fielding only one candidate, in Terrebonne. Candidate spending limits in each of the three ridings average \$139,000. During an election, expenses incurred to promote a party counts towards the spending limits. This would include the cost of rallies and meetings. How will the Liberals' convention be factored into these limits?

Add to this the use of government resources to promote Tatiana Auguste, as has occurred with several ministers, including Prime Minister Carney, making appearances with her and promoting her through their social-media accounts. Dominic LeBlanc, President of the King's Privy Council and Minister responsible for Canada-U.S. Trade, Intergovernmental Affairs and One Canadian Economy, posted on Facebook on March 17, "Tonight I was in Terrebonne with Tatiana Auguste [...] Tatiana is a committed young leader and the best candidate [...] For a strong Terrebonne -- and a strong Canada -- vote for Tatiana on April 13!" It included a link to Auguste's campaign website.

How are such activities to be accounted for since government ministers conducting partisan election activities is illegal? Or will they say they did this in their "off hours" – when they were not on duty? Many people did not respond well to LeBlanc's post. "This is the only time the government will ever go to Terrebonne; did you look it up on Google to find out where it is?" one person wrote.

This is just one aspect of the fraudulent claim that elections are "free and fair" because the *Canada Elections Act* imposes spending limits on election campaigning and government ethics guidelines prohibit ministers from conducting partisan activities during elections. Such activities are beneath contempt.

This is why across the country, people are taking action to get rid of the current electoral process which is designed to keep them out of power. The Liberal manoeuvring around campaign spending laws and government "ethics" guidelines, and the silence of the other cartel parties who would do the same if they could is one more reason to defeat the Liberals in Terrebonne.

Defeating them in Terrebonne is a way of expressing contempt for an electoral system based on using positions of power and privilege to entrench the self-serving cartel parties to lord over the polity.

Work of Longest Ballot Project Shows Broad Support for Electoral Reform

– Pauline Easton –

Forty-eight people will be on the ballot in the Terrebonne by-election to be held on April 13. Forty-two of these candidates represent the aim of the Longest Ballot Project to gather support to end the first-past-the-post method of counting votes and the conflict of interest in which the political parties with seats in the House of Commons amend the electoral law to favour themselves, thus enhancing positions of power and privilege above the citizens and residents which comprise the country called Canada.

The work of the Longest Ballot Project continues to underscore the widespread support across the country for electoral reform. The large number of people who respond to the call for candidates increases the more time goes by as the system of cartel party government further reveals the extent of its corruption. The people who the Longest Ballot candidates speak with when they go door to door to collect nomination signatures have expressed their disgust with the state of affairs in the House of Commons, especially the floor crossings and desertions which have taken place recently as the Carney government seeks to manufacture a majority of seats in the Parliament and declare it has an undisputed mandate to pursue its agenda uncontested.

All the independent candidates running on the platform of the Longest Ballot Project have been nominated by some 120 people, even though only 100 nominations are required to qualify. Even though time to finalize the nomination procedures was not enough, 40 plus more people submitted their names to be candidates and they too garnered the required number of nomination signatures. Another sign of support for the project is that each independent candidate supporting the Longest Ballot Project has their own official agent. Taken together, the number of people who have participated in one capacity or another in one election or by-election or several to promote the Longest Ballot Project has resulted in many more people gaining their own first-hand experience of what the electoral law stands for and what Canada's so-called representative political system and democracy are all about.

One of the teams collecting nomination signatures for Longest Ballot candidates told *TML* that of the total number of people they approached to sign the nomination forms, some 95 per cent deliberately signed because they decried the corruption going on in the House of Commons and how the government and the parties with seats in the House of Commons are operating.

The same sort of results have been seen every time the Longest Ballot has run candidates in a general election or by-election. There is enough experience to extrapolate that if every household across the country with people who qualify as electors were approached, the extent of those who want electoral reform would be practically universal. However, its appeal is already expressed very clearly by the fact that Longest Ballot candidates come from different parts of the country and their opinions on the political spectrum are in some cases vastly different to one another, yet they unite behind the need for a citizens' assembly to draft a new electoral law.

The detractors of the Longest Ballot Project never once pay attention to the indications of widespread support this project has nation-wide. They prefer to engage in disinformation, diversions and slander, which the system permits them to spread with impunity because nobody in positions of privilege and power holds the slanderers or defamers to account. On the contrary, they close ranks to oppose those who criticize the electoral system which is treated as a sacred cow. Some of the detractors of the Longest Ballot Project's attempts to provide a voice to the need for electoral reform are just malicious actors while others are so blinded by their belief that the liberal democratic institutions said to be representative are the best in the world, they dismiss the actions of the Longest Ballot Project, calling it a deliberate attempt to cause damage, perhaps even inspired by foreign powers. Instead of democratizing the electoral laws, they seek to criminalize the proponents of electoral reform and the Longest Ballot Project.

Some of the MPs and people in the media, both legacy and social, who spew vitriol against the Longest Ballot Project are even blatantly ignorant about the electoral law -- both what it actually says as well as the premises which inform it. But there is no authority save that of the people who speak out in their own name which shuts down their vitriol and raises the modern democratic guideline, *No Investigation, No Right to Speak*.

The so-called hearings of the House of Commons Standing Committee (PROC) into the Longest Ballot were a black mark against the democratic understanding that the aim of hearings is to seek truth from facts. The disinformation and outright hysteria uttered there against the Longest Ballot Project merely served to further highlight the elitist essence of Canada's electoral system and laws which serve to keep the people out of power.

The disinformation, lies and slanders are a blatant diversion from the fact that those in positions of privilege and power and their advocates and media refuse to speak about the electoral law and how what is called a representative democracy functions to disempower Canadians. They refuse to address the fact that the democratic institutions face a profound crisis of legitimacy and credibility because what used to be political parties with primary organizations at the level of every riding have become part of a cartel party system which passes laws which favour narrow private interests. All laws passed in recent years have in the most egregious manner served to keep these parties in power and keep Canadians out of power, all of it done in the name of enhancing the democracy!

The truth of the matter is that these cartel parties are the gatekeepers of a democracy created to defend private interests and keep the people disempowered and this is precisely what they are doing. But that aim was supposed to be kept hidden. It is now so glaring that the more these gatekeepers scream in its defence, the more discredited that form of democracy becomes. It is such a fraud, so corrupt, so self-serving that none of its champions are capable of providing rational arguments in its defence.

While authorities have been calling for changes to the *Canada Elections Act* to quash electoral protests waged by people exercising their right to stand as candidates, the House of Commons Committee on Procedure and House Affairs which conducted a study of the "Actions of the 'Longest Ballot Committee' in recent Canadian Elections" back in October 2025 has now issued its recommendations. None of their recommendations address the need to reform the electoral act in a manner which empowers Canadians and ends the privileged positions of the MPs with seats in the House of Commons or of party governments. Should the cartel parties in the House of Commons exhibit the arrogance of power once again, as they have done when enacting amendments to the *Canada Elections Act* in the last 30 years, and pass self-serving amendments as proposed by PROC, they will not only once again disgrace Canada's system of democracy but themselves as well.

Instead of toeing the party line on this matter, MPs with seats in the House of Commons would do better to apprise themselves of what precisely the electoral law says and the significance of what it means. Shibboleths in praise of Canada's democracy are hollow indeed. We hear them often enough

from this or that MP who clearly has no clue of what Canada's electoral system actually stipulates. In far too many cases, we hear vacuous chauvinist positions repeated to advocate civilizing values against "monstrous unbelievers at our gates."

The fact remains that if the work of the Longest Ballot and all its adherents were not effective in raising the need to renew the electoral system, the establishment forces would not be in such a feeding frenzy to wipe it out. These attempts will not succeed. Their attempts to restructure the state to dispose of the voice of Canadians requires disposing of Canadians themselves and Quebeckers and Indigenous Peoples altogether. This is a pipe dream eroding their own brains which is not good for them. If they know what is good for them, they should stop. For its part, the project espoused by the Longest Ballot Committee to advocate for the need to reform the electoral law and empower Canadians will not stop. It will march on.

What "Being at the Table" Tells Us About the Cartel Party System of Politics

– Steve Rutchinski –

We often hear it said that Canadians should vote for candidates whose party is likely to form the government so that these candidates, or the ridings they allegedly represent, can have "a seat at the table." Those who invoke this phrase and the monopoly media who normalize it lower the level of political discourse to turn everyone into a pragmatist who thinks the cesspool that is the "politics" of the banker technocrats and the billionaire oligarchs who own, control and run society in their own image and interests are in some way worthy of their endorsement. Those who speak this way and what they are espousing deserve utter contempt and public condemnation by thinking people. Thinking Canadians do not condone such self-serving arguments.

When MP Lori Idlout (NDP) crossed the floor to join the Carney Liberals, she explained her decision without even consulting those in Nunavut who elected her. She said she did so with high ideals, to secure "a seat at the table" where decisions are made affecting the lives of the people in the north, that is in government, rather than the opposition. In the grand scheme of things, her decision to "be at the table" will have little impact toward improving the living conditions in the high north because the Carney government's agenda is all about war preparations, not people's well-being. It serves only the Carney Liberals' efforts to secure, by hook or by crook, a majority in Parliament to be able to implement an agenda in service of the global oligarchs that control our country.

The real treachery belongs to Prime Minister Mark Carney, the man who prides himself in being a pragmatic realist who secures floor crossings and desertions in the name of high ideals. In the speech Carney delivered to the pool of rich people attending the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland in January, he was applauded by them for declaring, "If we're not at the table, we're on the menu." Far from being clever, it was used to promote the agenda of the technocrats, bankers and those in service of the global oligarchs. Whether one is "at the table" to divide the spoils of conquest or "at the table" not "on the menu," it is the language of predator versus prey, exploiters and exploited, not the language of relations between humans and humans and humans and nature and what they reveal. What they reveal is the need for people's empowerment, not the empowerment of the bankers, technocrats and financial oligarchs reaping the profits the likes of Carney are handing them on a silver platter by usurping the decision-making power which rightfully belongs to the people, not narrow private interests.

First of all, who IS at the table? Certainly not the Canadian polity, the citizens and residents who have absolutely no say in determining the affairs of our society. Carney's Liberals won a minority government in the 2025 federal election with barely 30 per cent of the eligible voters, 44 per cent if one only considers the number of eligible voters who actually cast a ballot. That means 70 per cent

of all eligible voters did not vote Liberal -- 56 per cent if we consider only those who actually voted.

Yet it is normalized in the first-past-the-post, cartel-party system of so-called representative democracy that the majority of the electors and those they elect to parliament are "not at the table" and have no say in setting or implementing the direction set for society. That is essentially what Lori Idlout is saying.

It is even further deception to suggest that by being "at the table," she or any other MP has any say. Quite the opposite. Global oligarchs set the agenda for their banker friends turned politicians and technocrats to implement while the rank and file MPs are told when to vote and how to vote on pain of being removed from "the table."

Ask Jody Wilson-Raybould, former Liberal Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada who was forced to resign from Cabinet and removed completely from the Liberal caucus for refusing to toe the party line in the SNC-Lavalin criminal corruption affair.

Another example. A few years back, after 18 years of one Liberal MP holding the seat of Nickel Belt in Sudbury, the Liberal Party, sensing it could lose that election, decided to threaten the electorate that if they did not vote Liberal this time, and the Liberals still won the national election, then Nickel Belt would be left without "effective representation." It was scandalous. The long-standing Liberal MP, who at the time was Liberal Party whip, was asked what being "at the table" had achieved for Sudbury over the previous 18 years. Sudbury was, and still is, a resource extraction town. In terms of economic development, secondary industry and so forth -- there was practically nothing to show for it. He was "at the table" but the decisions that affect society and everyday lives of the people are made in the back rooms, in the corporate board rooms here and abroad. The MPs of the party in power are told when and how to vote. That's their role. That is the truth of it.

Canadians and Quebeckers refuse to be dragged down to such a vulgar level of existence. These corrupt practices are not the politics and outlook of everyday people. Times are calling on us, on all humanity, to settle scores with everything "being at the table" stands for. It's not a bigger piece of that pie we are after. We want no part of such a table with its bankrupt, unrepresentative "democracy," its racism, its genocidal wars, its destruction of Mother Earth and trampling of sovereign rights of nations and peoples. A new world is in the making that will sweep all this rot away. This is the conclusion thinking Canadians draw when told to survive we must "be at the table."

Only Carney Is "at the Table"

One of the dogmas that is repeated in the context of the by-election in Terrebonne, is that the people of the riding are best served with a representative that "sits at the table where decisions are made" instead of being in the Opposition "with no means to change things." It is very self-serving because even MPs of the ruling party have no say over decisions which are made by the party in power.

In 2019, the now very silent Steven Guilbault, was then a well-known environmental activist in Quebec. He shocked his friends when he announced he would be running for the Liberal Party of Canada in the Montreal riding of Laurier-Sainte-Marie. Like those who have crossed the floor to join the Liberals in recent months and weeks, he too gave the reason that it is more effective to work within the governing party in order to make changes that will benefit the environment. He was elected and Justin Trudeau used him for six years in different official capacities within the Liberal governments as did Carney initially, all to provide the Liberals with a veneer of being environmentally concerned. He finally resigned from Carney's cabinet in November 2025 after the Prime Minister announced a memorandum of understanding with Alberta for the construction of the gas pipeline Guilbault had been fighting against. Carney said of Guilbault, "I respect him" but

the decision was "in the national interest of Canada."

Everyone knows that the main problem with this fraud of "being at the table" is that only Mark Carney appears to sit at that table where decisions are made with a "murder" of bankers in the room and that "table" is not in the House of Commons. Carney spends his time making deals at a supranational table with representatives of oligopolies and global war profiteers.

Letters to the Editor

By-Elections Silence Discussion on Liberals' War Agenda and Privatization of Social Programs

What's happening right now is very dangerous. We wake up one morning and schools and hospitals are being destroyed in Iran. And some people are profiting from this because oil prices are rising, because weapons are being manufactured, sold and delivered. Even though this is happening far from home, we're affected too, because the Carney government's increased military spending contributes to the destruction of our hospitals and schools right here through privatization and the diversion of funds to war production.

How is it that we find ourselves faced with these *faits accomplis*? We're confronted with a reality over which we have no say. The by-election in Terrebonne is an opportunity to challenge the way the electoral process works and to work out how to not permit those who profit from war production and privatization to claim they represent the people, because they don't. Of specific concern at this time is the arrogance of the Liberals who claim their candidate cares for her constituents, addresses their concerns and, in particular that if they vote for her, Terrebonne will "have a seat" at the table in Ottawa where decisions are taken. The claim that this is democracy is absurd. Everyone knows they are not represented.

Reader in Lanaudière

Increasing military spending makes Canada's dependence on and integration into the U.S. war machine greater and greater. It does not provide benefits to the economy as the Liberals say. Our people need jobs but not in war production. Job creation should focus on all the ways in which the economy can be developed to benefit the people. Carney is congratulating himself for complying with the demand to contribute two per cent of Canada's GDP to NATO. The Liberal government achieved the goal with an injection of an additional \$9.3 billion into the Department of National Defence's budget last June -- bringing the overall defence expenditures to just over \$61 billion. Carney is now making visits to enhance bases in the Arctic in the name of defending Canada's sovereignty. The Liberals' domination of the media and airwaves is used to make sure no discussion takes place at all. This is dangerous indeed. The current by-elections cover all this up, presenting the results, whatever they will be, as an indication of support for the Liberals' war agenda.

Reader in Quebec City

Right now, billions of dollars in profits are being made simply from the increase in oil prices and Iran is blamed, not the war profiteers and the oligarchs who control oil production and distribution. After destroying our resources, our schools and our medical system, and increasing tuition fees, the military step in and offer to take control of young people's education, training them as soldiers, officers and professionals. Recruitment propaganda has intensified recently. The government devotes all kinds of resources to recruit the youth with promises of well paid jobs, stability and education.

None of this is raised during the by-elections which focus on giving the Liberals a majority so that they face no disruption in the House of Commons. The system of representative democracy is a fraud. It does not bring the representatives of the people who serve the demands of the people to power.

Reader in Laval

Re: Turncoats to Guarantee a Liberal Majority

The phenomenon of "turncoats" shoring up the federal Liberals' attempt to compile a majority government reveals without doubt the fraud of the electoral system in Canada. It is not a sign of strength, dignity or support for a Liberal agenda, let alone a national discussion which established that this agenda is good for Canada. It is a sign of the Liberal government's desperation to impose its anti-social warmongering agenda with no opposition whatsoever at any level.

Elected MPs claim to represent their party, their platform and their constituents but this has nothing to do with reality! The fact that tomorrow morning, an MP can decide to become an NDP, Liberal or Conservative and cross the floor in the House of Commons confirms that this is an electoral game and a fraud that holds the people in contempt. And this system is considered the best in the world!!

Members of Parliament swear an oath of allegiance to the King, not to the people and the nation they are striving to bring into being. And the King blesses them all! It shows that the only interest these MPs have is to advance their own careers in the name of high ideals, devoted public service and all kinds of malarkey.

Reader on Montreal's South Shore

Mark Carney met with King Charles III, nearly a year after this parasite's visit to Canada to deliver the Speech from the Throne, surrounded by his court. "All is well in your kingdom, M'Lord," Carney assured him. Ridiculous! No self-respecting Quebecker supports that!

Student at Cégep Lanaudière

The by-election in the Terrebonne riding is an opportunity to block the Liberals by preventing their candidate from becoming an MP and boasting about having the support of the people of Terrebonne. Let's say *No!* to the Liberal fraud that they represent what Canadians and Quebeckers want!

A University Youth Group

The by-election in Terrebonne is surely an opportunity to put the Liberals' fraud to shame – their claim that they represent the people -- whether in Quebec, Canada or, certainly not, in Terrebonne. Mark Carney's program -- a plan of perpetual schemes to pay the rich, weaken our rights and subject Quebec to federal control, all with the collaboration of the Coalition Avenir Québec government -- is not welcome in Terrebonne. The "Building a Strong Canada" program is aggressive, destructive and contrary to the interests of the people of Canada and Quebec. With this program, the government is rapidly removing all obstacles to environmental protection, which Quebeckers hold dear. It is diverting billions of dollars to serve the war plans of the U.S. and NATO, further weakening our security, our environment, our social services and our working and living conditions. This is all the more worrying as military recruitment is on the rise in an attempt to mobilize our young people as cannon fodder.

The Liberals need an MP in Terrebonne to back their plan and speak on our behalf. They need an MP to push through the high-speed rail project in the face of workers' and farmers' concerns about potential land expropriation, its scale, and the protection of farmland. They need one to continue the sell-off of our natural resources to feed private profits and serve the U.S. war-machine. This is not what Quebeckers want.

Reader in Montreal

Re: Defence of Longest Ballot Project

Thank you for your defence of the Longest Ballot Initiative and your exposure of the political elites' desire to maintain a corrupt system that addresses nothing many Canadians care about: declining health care and standards of living, growing homelessness and poverty, youth unemployment, an economy that increasingly marginalizes the most vulnerable Canadians, a growing climate emergency with out of control wild fires, corporate greed, growing Canadian militarism and attachment to the U.S. empire and its military industrial complex, a foreign policy that supports ethno-supremacist state Israel and aids crimes against humanity and genocide.

Your party stands on the right side of history and is truly working to benefit all Canadians and people of the world engaged in just what Marx and Engels addressed the class war that is now being fully waged by the global elites against all the working people of the planet.

The past federal election I worked for the party and it was an education and cast my first vote for Ms Di Carlo it was the best vote I ever cast!

Thank you for your hard work on behalf of all people!

Supporter in Mississauga

Website: www.cpcml.ca Email: editor@cpcml.ca